On 6 August 2014, finally the big day had come: ESA’s Rosetta mission went into an orbit around comet 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko to start its actual science mission. More than ten years after the launch on 2 March 2004 and more than 6 billion km cruise, the science phase, for which a large number of scientists had worked since the mid-nineties of the last century, did start.
Actually, the preparation work for the mission had already started in 1985, originally perceived as a Comet-Nucleus Sample-Return mission, the fourth cornerstone in ESA’s new long-term programme Horizon 2000 [1] jointly with NASA.

However, in the aftermath of the explosion of the shuttle Challenger on 28 January, NASA had to restructure their programme and Europe had to define a mission that could be done by ESA alone. Rosetta re-emerged as a comet rendezvous mission with asteroid fly-bys: ‘If we cannot bring back material out of a comet nucleus to our laboratories on Earth, we will bring the laboratory to the comet’ became the new slogan.

In 2002 spacecraft and payload were ready (Fig. 1) and tested for the launch in early 2003 with the Ariane 5 from Kourou to comet 46P/Wirtanen. The spacecraft was already in Kourou and fueled, when the improved version of the Ariane 5 exploded on her maiden flight, shortly after lift-off just before Christmas 2002. As a consequence the launcher was grounded until the problem had been identified and resolved.

In a very short time an alternative mission scenario was found: the next opportunity with an Ariane 5 was the mission to comet 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko with a launch in March 2004.

On 20 January 2014 Rosetta woke up by its internal wake-up call and the most important phase of its mission was about to begin. On 6 August 2014 it entered the orbit around the nucleus at a distance of 100 km. An extensive science and reconnaissance phase started with the initial prime objective to select a landing site for its surface science laboratory Philae.

The first soft landing on a comet nucleus occurred on 12 November, 2014. At 8:35 UTC the Lander was released with a relative velocity $\Delta v = 0.1876 \text{ m/s}$ about 20.5 km from the nucleus surface. After 6:59:04 hours of ballistic descent Philae landed on the comet at 15:34:03.97 UTC with a touchdown speed relative to the surface of about 1 m/s. Since the anchor harpoons did not fire upon touchdown and the hold-down thrust of the cold-gas system did not work either, the lander bounced several times until it came to rest after 1:57 hours of ballistic flight at its final landing site.

Science goals

Already late in the 17th century Edmond Halley and Isaac Newton had independently proposed that comets may have played an important role in the development of planets [2]. Whereas Halley thought that a collision with a comet could have caused a biblical flood on Earth, Newton proposed that the vapours from comet tails could have been collected by planetary atmospheres, thereby delivering the water necessary for terrestrial life. These were quite bold suggestions if one considers that, at the time, nobody knew the chemical composition or the structure of comets. Later, after detection of carbon-bearing radicals in the spectra of comets, it was speculated that their parent molecules could have been the source of the pre-biotic chemistry that ultimately resulted in the development of life on Earth.

The two open questions, origin of water and life on Earth, are still drivers for comet science together with the question how the solar system has been formed and developed. That comets play an important role in this basic question is taken for granted. The fact that they represent the most primitive material found in the solar system and hence are witnesses of the very early history has already been demonstrated by composition measurements during the Giotto mission [3]. The role of comets in the formation history of the solar system has been the subject of numerous models and speculations. Of the two families, the Comets in the Oort Cloud and those in the Kuiper Belt, at least the latter formed beyond the orbit of Neptune at very low temperatures. They are considered to originate from the family of icy planetesimals that have contributed to the volatile inventory of the planets, including the terrestrial planets. Impacts of comets must have brought some water and other volatiles to the Earth and the inner planets. However the magnitude of their contribution is debated.
The Rosetta mission [4] to 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko, a “Jupiter-family comet” (originating in the Kuiper belt) has the prime goal to solve as many of the basic open questions as possible by accompanying the comet from aphel through perihel and monitoring its development and activity. For this purpose a suite of twelve sophisticated instruments covering all conceivable aspects was selected for Rosetta’s orbiter. Ten more instruments on the lander Philae are characterizing structure and composition of the surface of the nucleus.

**First results**
The first results of the measuremments in October/November 2014 are already very exciting and in part also quite surprising. Due to the fact that the comet’s activity was substantial and the search of the Philae landing site was performed in a bound orbit of 10 km altitude, a first science campaign could be carried out, which has led to a Special Issue of Science with unprecedented details of a comet nucleus [5]. We will here give a summary of these first results.

**Shape, Density and Surface Structure**
Already during approach it became clear that this comet has a funny shape and a wealth of surface structure elements which are not easily explained, considering its low gravity forces. Its duck-like shape (Fig. 2) raises the question whether the two lobes represent a contact-binary or a single body where a gap has evolved via mass loss. The duck rotates in 12 hours around the axis shown in Fig. 2, and this axis appears to be stable (<0.3°). The total volume is currently estimated to be 21.4 ± 2.0 km³, whereby the uncertainty reflects the fact that the entire nucleus has not yet been seen). This leads to a mean density of 470 ± 45 kg/m³. Assuming that this density is homogeneous, a high porosity of 70 – 80% is implied.

High resolution pictures (0.8 m/Pixel) reveal an amazing variety of surface textures. Cliffs as high as 900 m, several hundred meters long fractures, circular pits and boulders up to several meters are just some of the impressive features. Their origin can result from the thermal shock by the very large variation in temperatures (150 K) seen by comet nuclei or collapse of internal reservoirs of volatiles. But only the development during approach of the Sun will give more evidence on the mechanisms in place.

**Composition of nucleus and coma**
Remote-sensing instruments have measured the progression of the H₂O production rate. It has developed from 0.3 kg/s in June 2014 to 1.2 kg/s in late August. With an albedo of 0.060, the comet is very dark. Broad absorption features taken in radiation with low micrometer wavelengths that are present across the entire illuminated surface, are compatible with
magnetometers on the orbiter and the one on the Lander. Not only could they determine that the nucleus was not magnetized [6], but the measurements helped to reconstruct Philae’s trajectory across the surface, including the precise timing of the touchdown points (Fig. 4).

Already this first part of the mission has demonstrated the potential of the payload to achieve its ambitious goals. Its continuation while the comet fully develops its coma promises substantial progress in understanding these messengers from the Solar System’s genesis.
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Surface science by Philae

After Philae had come to rest, the attempt to take material out of the nucleus with the drill and hammering of MUPUS into the surface failed, most probably due to the unexpected hardness of the upper surface. As predicted, Philae ceased operations after about 60 hrs, when the primary battery was depleted. Analysis of the data, taken during this period, will soon appear in a second Special Issue of Science. The unexpected flight provided a unique opportunity for a joint measurement of the opaque minerals associated with nonvolatile macromolecular materials (carbon-hydrogen and/or oxygen-hydrogen groups). The coma as monitored by a total gas pressure gage and two mass spectrometers shows substantial time variability and heterogeneity in total gas production and composition, both diurnal and seasonal. The main constituents are H₂O, CO and CO₂ in variable proportions, but a large zoo of molecules, containing various combinations of H, C, O, N, S and P atoms (as they were partially already known from the Giotto mission) have been identified. For the first time N₂ could be measured and as the first of the noble gases Ar was clearly identified. The D/H ratio in water was found to be three times as high as in terrestrial sea-water (Fig. 3). The comet’s water production, at this time of the mission, is not yet fully developed, and the coma may not yet be representative for the inner of the nucleus. Hence firm conclusions still have to wait for the next phase of the mission. Nevertheless the clear identification and relative abundances of the very volatile N₂ and Ar strongly suggest that cometary grains formed at very low temperatures (below 30 K), and the D/H ratio together with the relatively high abundance of Ar tell us that comets of the type of Churyumov-Gerasimenko were not the main providers of the Earth water and volatiles.

FIG. 4: A reconstruction of the trajectory by the lander ‘Philae’ after first contact with the comet (Courtesy ESA)