Neurasthenic Science

The funding of scientific research declines everywhere today. People do not buy science now as they did before. In the past, scientific researchers were disguised as priests, philosophers, astrologers, alchemists, engineers, professors, and they were paid for that. Technically speaking, all of these were covert researchers, acting under cover. With the advent of science factories (institutes of scientific research) their cover was withdrawn, their guise fell, and they were forced to declare their legitimate identity: that of scientific researcher.

At that very same moment in time the questions arose of what they were good for, what is their use, and what are they supposed to do for a living? The answer was that these people would further advance science, and that their scientific products and by-products would be useful to everyone. This answer was accepted, the scientists were trusted and financed, and they kept their promise: science produced the steam engine, the combustion engine, the movie industry, electric power, steel, plastics and modern materials, telecommunications (ie radio, telegraph, television, telephones), nuclear power, pharmaceuticals and cures for diseases, air and space flight, silicon and microelectronics (including sound and image devices), computers and virtual reality, to mention only a few. Scientists call all these scientific by-products, while laymen call them scientific (and technical) products. For scientists the product of science is knowledge, a point which usually escapes the awareness of laypeople.

Now, the usefulness of science and scientists is being questioned again. Science and scientists must be accountable, and governmental acts concerning 'performance and results' of scientific research are passed and enacted; scientists are required to devise 'strategies and plans of research', to propose 'research projects, programs and themes', which are to be 'evaluated', approved or rejected, by 'panels of experts', to submit their articles to 'peer review', to 'fight' a new war and play this foolish new game. For what? To make a modest living by an activity as generous as scientific research is.

Speaking of the 'accountability' of science and scientists no one ever remembers the list of scientific products given above; and no one thinks of taxing transportation, telecommunications, energy, the sales of CDs and videotapes to support scientific research.

Instead of challenging the 'accountants' regarding their own 'accountability', the scientists attempt to cope with the new situation by filling out 'research receipts': add more motion, bits of music and image, a handful of computations, add many graphics to your scientific papers, use colour transparencies in your oral presentations, cite everyone with influence, pay the page charges for your publications, submit thick, highly coloured reports, animated if possible. All of your time, effort, and money are exhausted in proposing and reporting on research projects which never get accomplished in fact. Computer programs are widely in use, which write scientific papers automatically, by themselves: there is a list of options for the subject, a menu for the extent of treatment for each topic, another for citing the methods used, and, since the program is personalized, your and your collaborators' names are put automatically on the authors' line; another set of options generates the title of the paper. Serial papers are written and published in this way. All of this aberrant, serial production gets published, recording high scores in the reviews and evaluations, processes, because money is at stake in this game, because money is the name of this game. This is neurasthenic science.

There have appeared experts in evaluating the research output, there have been developed theories about theories, and we have scientific researchers that do scientific research about scientific research. There are experts on experts (ie metaresearchers), and science of science.... The scientific metmethods of metaevaluation of the metaoutput of this multi-metascience have expanded into monstrous and grotesque forms, which feed on themselves, in an erratic movement of virtual objects in an empty world, devoid of any sense. Obviously, this is nothing but the end of conducting scientific research in any proper way. This is the self-cancellation of scientific research.

The nonsensical, money-oriented, movement described above (neurasthenic science) breeds a new race of people; they live among, and on, scientists, but certainly outside of them; they deal with science-related matters, but certainly are outside of science. This gregarious and noisy bunch of beanotons, of science activists, call themselves professors, projects managers, coordinators, conveners, moderators, chairpersons, supervisors, editors, reviewers, referees, but their deepest ambition is to be called 'peers'. A 'peer' is a person who has an equal standing with another, and the 'peers' wish to be equal with the scientists. Of course, they are not, precisely because they call themselves 'peers' and not scientists, on the one hand; and on the other, the equality of two or more persons is an illusion, especially in science. Such a relationship simply does not exist. Does anyone sufficiently sane think of Bohr as equal to Einstein? The scientists are a collection of distinct (and distinguished) persons.

The peers bunch made two main contributions to neurasthenic science: bibliometrics, or scientometrics, and peer review. Bibliometrics is a numerics of papers, citations, and other items, which are sometimes related accidentally to the substance of scientific research. Peer reviews are said to promote the scientific results. Speaking of science progress we should remember that science progressed by the interaction between more experienced and less experienced scientists. If there is a progress inside science, ie a certain internal motion, then there
Their philosophy is very simple: Give us your money for science and we shall show you in return that you were right, you made the right thing, you made the right choice, and your money has been spent as well as possible. How shall we show you this? By publishing automatic, serial and trivial papers, by rejecting the few good ones (because they are few, are not 'representative', because they are not sufficiently novel, they are 'scientifically old' and do not illustrate our 'paradigm'), by producing thick reports of evaluation, by scientometric methods, by numbers, by everything, by our very money-enlivened enthusiasm. What? The emperor has no clothes? Not at all, the emperor is just a spiritual entity, a sort of superghost, which exists, but there exists no proof of his existence except ourselves. In the matter of funding, for science, in problems of scientific money, we are the only truth, the whole truth, nothing but the truth, and the ultimate truth. We are the final solution. Indeed, truly they are, to scientific research.

---

**No one thinks of taxing transportation, telecommunications, energy, the sales of CDs and videotapes to support scientific research**

Famous theorists of physics claim vigorously today that we shall soon be in possession of The Final Theory, The Ultimate Theory, The Total Theory of Everything that Exists, ever Existed and will Exist. Does not it sound like The End? Are not we ourselves the ones who irresponsibly claim that we are finished?—has the same old and simple answer: by sincere faith. If we believe sincerely in all these truths, then we begin to learn, we begin to know, we begin to see these truths; and we begin to see an absolutely beautiful world. The principle of truth is the truth itself. Only the one who knows, knows; those who do not know do not know that they do not know. The world is a matter of belief, and the scientific method is itself belief in God.

And, to implement a practical solution, let us account for the money, let us count the scientists; let us account for our needs and our ideals; let us count the products of science and tax their utilization to fund scientific research; let us spell out the truth about neurasthenic science and its promoters and followers; and let us be sorry for them.
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