Quest for Other Worlds Awakens

Jean Schneider from the Observatoire de Paris describes current programmes and long-term plans at a time when interest is on the increase following recent announcements of some intriguing results.

The problem of the existence or not of other worlds has today two, heterogeneous aspects. We would like to answer several astrophysical questions (frequency of the occurrence of other planetary systems, similarity to the solar system, formation, evolution, dependence on major features of the central star). But there also are far more important questions which relate to the evolution of man: "Is there life on extrasolar planets?"; "Are we alone in the Universe?". The standard view is that life is supported by complex, out-of-equilibrium chemical processes so it requires specific conditions which are only fulfilled by what are defined as "habitable" planets.

Several decades of discussion have, perhaps provisionally, brought us to the conclusion that a habitable planet has a temperature of $\approx 300$ K (to allow for liquid water).

In addressing the question of the existence of other worlds one is therefore prompted to adopt a clearly defined four-fold strategy involving searches for any kind of extrasolar planets, for habitable planets, for signs of life on these planets, and for alien "intelligence".

As far as the three first are concerned, much work has started in the USA with a coherent national programme originally called TOPS (Towards Other Planetary Systems) but now referred to as ASEPS (Astronomical Studies of Extrasolar Planetary Systems). The contrast with Europe, where the field is underdeveloped, is striking although it may, hopefully, change in the near future.

Two Types of Planets

The potential success of a detection method clearly depends not only on technological limitations but also on the characteristics of the planets being sought (their mass $M$, radius $R$, temperature $T$, and distance $a$ from the parent star). There are regions in the $M$-$R$-$T$-$a$ domain which are likely to be forbidden because planets are either solid or gaseous. It is an empirical fact that all the solid planets or planetary cores in the solar system have a mass at most $15$ times the Earth mass $M_E$. In the absence of any other information, one takes the conservative view that while a gaseous planet can have a Jupiter size and mass (or greater), a solid extrasolar planet has at most $5$ Earth masses or $2.5$ Earth radii.

On the other hand, a gaseous (Jupiter-like) planet, defined as having a temperature below $200$ K to prevent hydrogen evaporation, must be at least $5$ Astronomical Units (AU) from the parent star. This constraint leads to two categories of planets:

- massive gaseous planets ($M > 15$ $M_E$) which are at the same time outer planets in a sequence;
- Earth-like solid planets ($M \leq 15$ $M_E$) which are at the same time inner planets.

If one accepts that a habitable planet has a temperature of $300$ K, only inner planets, being fairly close to the central star, can develop some kind of biochemical activity.

Several Viable Approaches

A planet reveals itself either by its own emission or by some kind of perturbation in the star's characteristics. The insert reviews some of the observed effects, the phases of which are modulated owing to the orbital revolution of the planet. The magnitudes of the effects are summarized in the Table, where the numbers in the two central columns are for a parent star with $1$ solar mass at $5$ pc from the Sun (for other planetary systems, these numbers scale in an obvious way with the planet and star parameters). They must be compared with the present or future performance of astronomical instrumentation.

The Table leads to obvious conclusions which deserve comment. The most common methods, namely imaging, astrometry and accelerometry, are in fact sensitive only to the presence of outer planets (as far as current searches and soon-to-be-completed projects are concerned). There are naturally ambitious projects to detect Earth-like inner planets with these methods, but they have no chance of becoming operational for at least two decades.

As for the approaches valid for all planets, the most efficient is the timing method. Unfortunately, it only works for pulsars and is thus useless for "normal" (i.e., main sequence) stars. The gravitational amplification method has the disadvantages that it reveals the presence of a planet only once (during the single transit brought about by the proper motion of its parent star) and by itself does not allow for subsequent observations of a detected planet. However, in extensive surveys this method could provide statistical information on the number of planetary systems.

The occultation method is the only one which can detect, in the near future, inner planets around main sequence stars and give some of their characteristics. It could

Experimental Approaches

A variety of phenomena are used in the search for Earth-like planets:

- The planet is revealed by reflection of the star's light on the planetary surface, with a difference in magnitude (log of the brightness) depending on the configuration of the system.
- Similarly, the gravitational perturbation generated by the planet gives a periodic modulation in the light velocity of the star, with the same period as the orbital revolution of the planet.
- If the star can be considered as a clock, as for a pulsar, its reflex motion owing to the presence of the planet modulates the time of arrival of the clock signals. Pulsars are generally considered as hostile environments for life. However, this approach deserves further investigation, because, for instance, lethal radio, X- and gamma-ray radiations may be damped by a sufficiently thick atmosphere as long as the pulsar beam does not hit the planet.
- If the orbital plane of the planet is suitably oriented, it produces an occultation — a decrease in the star's light during transits (duration $\approx 1-20$ hours). For a random orientation of the planet's orbital plane, the geometric probability of the transit is $\approx 1\%$ for a single star. For an eclipsing binary, this probability can amount to $100\%$ since the binary and planet orbital planes are identical (there is a double transit in this case).
- The planet can produce a gravitational amplification of the light from background stars, with a duration depending on the planet's transverse velocity.
- Finally the planet may have, like Jupiter and Saturn, an intrinsic radio emission which can be searched for. Based on present knowledge, this approach should work for any kind of planet. For instance, in the solar system, the Earth is brighter than Uranus and Neptune at decametric radio wavelengths. However, it is not clear whether the presence of life is incompatible with a large radio-emission from the planet.
perhaps also be used to probe, using absorption of the star's light, the planet's atmospheric composition.

Some Important Results

There are many projects and programs aimed at detecting extrasolar planets. Most are under development, but some have already started to produce important results.

- **Astrometry**: an optical interferometer to measure perturbations in the positions of several hundreds of stars is being tested at Mount Palomar in the USA. Astrometric studies can also be made at radio-wavelengths and a collaboration between the Observatory of Paris and the US Jet Propulsion Lab is currently using very long baseline interferometry to seek perturbations in the positions of some radio stars. The Hubble Space Telescope has monitored several stars for a number of years with a precision of 1 mas. Benedict et al. announced in 1993 the possible discovery of a 0.8 Jupiter mass planet orbiting at 0.2 AU from Proxima Cent (the nearest star at 3.5 light years). If this is confirmed, it would constitute the first discovery of a planet around a normal star.

- **Spectroscopy**: Several groups in Canada and the USA started some 12 years ago to monitor spectroscopically several tens of bright, nearby stars. In a spectroscopic survey of 21 solar-type stars, Walker et al. reported in 1994 that another planet with about twice the mass of Jupiter does not exist (at a significance level of three standard deviations). Although negative, this result is astrophysically very important. It is the first time we have an answer to the 2300 year old question: "Are there everywhere (i.e., around all other stars) planetary systems like ours?" The response is now clearly "no" since less than one star in 20 has Jupiters. We can already conclude that either planetary systems are infrequent or the G-stars (i.e., like the sun) in the solar neighbourhood do have planetary companions, but they are not like our (for in spite of the absence of Jupiters at a ≤ 10 AU, they may well have inner Earth-like planets). The second possibility strongly encourages us to accelerate efforts to search for Earth-like planets around other main sequence stars.

---

For a planet 1 kpc from the solar system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Jupiter-like*</th>
<th>Earth-like*</th>
<th>Performance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amplitude of star's wobbling</td>
<td>80 µarcsec</td>
<td>0.6 µarcsec</td>
<td>20 µarcsec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Star-to-planet brightness ratio</td>
<td>10^{-9}</td>
<td>2 x 10^{-10}</td>
<td>10^{-9}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Star's velocity perturbation</td>
<td>13 m/s</td>
<td>0.1 m/s</td>
<td>1 m/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Star's timing perturbation</td>
<td>5 s</td>
<td>3 ms</td>
<td>5 µs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative brightness decrease</td>
<td>10^{-2}</td>
<td>10^{-4}</td>
<td>3 x 10^{-6}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative brightness amplification**</td>
<td>≤ 0.3</td>
<td>3 x 10^{-2}</td>
<td>10^{-2}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** For a planet 1 kpc from the solar system

** The Search for O₂ and O₃

The final goal of detecting habitable planets is to detect signatures of life. One very promising approach is the detection of molecular abundances, compatible only with very out-of-equilibrium chemical reactions and similar to the high abundance of O₂ in the Earth's atmosphere resulting from chlorophyllian photosynthesis. It has been suggested to search, by analogy, for O₂ in the optical band at 770 nm and for O₃ at 9.6 µm.

The O₂ band can be sought either by spectro-imaging of the planet or by pure spectroscopy during a planetary transit. In the first case, a spatial mission would be necessary; in the second case is is worthwhile to investigate whether the observations could be made from the ground with a sufficiently large optical reflector (since a high angular resolution is unnecessary for spectroscopy).
In the case of the \( \mathrm{O}_3 \) band, a satellite platform is required to avoid absorption by the Earth’s atmosphere. A more careful investigation, such as for instance the DARWIN project submitted to the European Space Agency, shows that it is even necessary to go to 3.5 AU or more from Earth to suppress the IR background of the zodiacal light.

The detection of planets around main sequence stars other than the Sun remains a major astrophysical and instrumental challenge. Today, one confirmed planetary system has been found, but at a place where almost nobody expected it (around a pulsar). There are also two possible candidates, but they need to be confirmed. Another intriguing result is the lack of giant (with a mass equivalent to \( \approx 2 \) Jupiter masses) planets around the nearest bright stars.

Several ambitious programmes are in sight, and some of them will even be capable of detecting habitable planets and eventually signs of life. But perhaps more encouraging is to be able to assist in the awakening in Europe of a field that has the potential to greatly influence not only science but also our understanding of life.

Updates of this paper are accessible on the World-Wide Web in the Extrasolar Planets Encyclopedia as http://mesiop.obspm.fr/schneider/planet/encycld.html

POSITRON MICROSCOPY

Pulse Microbeam in Operation

The primary beam of a positron scanning microscope based on using the positron lifetime technique to detect defects such as vacancies or voids [see EN 25 (1994) 178] recently passed final tests (the electro-optical column, the second part of the device — see figure, is presently being tested in Munich). Spatial resolution was tested by scanning the beam across a gold grid placed at the image position of the last lens. The image (see figure) is not yet a lifetime image, but a contrast image which allows one to estimate the spatial resolution as being better than 20 \( \mu \text{m} \). It compares very favourably with the few images produced in the last years using positron beams. The novelty is that it has been obtained with a pulsed beam. The time resolution achieved with the primary column is close to 350 ps. Planned refinements should allow a pulse duration of the order of 150 ps to be reached. The beam will then simultaneously exhibit a spot size and a time resolution close to the best values achieved until now in separate systems. The energy of the positron beam will be variable from 1 to 30 keV. In this way the positron implantation profile can be varied and a non-destructive depth profiling of the sample made possible.

The lifetime method has been used over the last 25 years with positrons from radioactive sources (depth resolution of the order of 100 \( \mu \text{m} \); lateral resolution of the order of a few millimetres). More recently, it has been used with pulsed positron beams of a few nm in diameter. In both cases, the possibility of distinguishing up to four types of defects has been demonstrated; the defect concentration can be obtained from the intensity of a given lifetime component. The positron microscope will perform the same kind of measurements on a pixel size of the order of a few square microns. Scanning the beam over the sample surface will produce a two-dimensional defect image and energy scanning will allow the generation of three-dimensional images with a variable depth resolution (of the order of 10 nm at depth of 100 nm, increasing to some 500 nm at a 2 \( \mu \text{m} \)).

The other type of positron microscope is based on re-emission. A beam of moderated positrons from a \( ^{22}\text{Na} \) source is focussed on the sample and the untrapped, re-emitted positron intensity is magnified and then imaged using a two-dimensional positron-sensitive detector. A \( 10^4 \)-fold magnification of the re-emitted positron distribution has been achieved. Prototypes based on this approach, which is limited to metals that re-emit positrons and to the study of surface features that affect positron emission (as opposed to near-surface structures), have been constructed in the US (Brandeis) and the UK (East Anglia).

A. Zecca, Trento

Corrigendum

The following sentence should be added at the end of the article "Positron Annihilation: Industrial Applications Development", published in Europhysics News 25 (1994) 178: "This workshop has been made possible through the financial support of the Royal Netherlands Academy of Sciences and of the European Commission’s Directorate-General XII. Furthermore, in the last sentence of the figure caption on p. 178, the word "delocalization" should read "localization"."