The discovery of a new class of intermetallic compounds with exceptional low-temperature properties, the heavy-fermion systems, has generated a lot of excitement amongst solid-state physicists, both theoreticians and experimentalists. Theorists are faced with problems that are new in thermodynamic transport properties at temperatures typically below about 10 K. These anomalies indicate a special feature of the mass of the electrons at the Fermi level, which is two orders of magnitude larger than the free-electron mass. Although this large effective mass is intriguing in itself, even more astonishing are the occurrence of superconductivity in the heavy-fermion compounds and the extremely large specific-heat anomalies at the superconducting transition temperature, indicating that heavy-mass electrons participate in the superconductivity. These features have given rise to suggestions from theoreticians of exotic types of pair bonding between the electrons.

In the familiar models of superconductivity, the necessary attractive interaction between two electrons is provided by electron-phonon processes. The most favourable situation occurs when the orbital function of the electron pair is symmetrical with spins opposite (s-wave or singlet pairing). In these approaches, use is made of the fact that the Debye temperature, representing the range of phonon energies, is much smaller than the Fermi temperature; in normal metals, the Fermi temperature is of the order of $10^4$ to $10^5$ K whereas the Debye temperature is a few hundred Kelvin. In the heavy-fermion compounds however, as the Fermi temperature is inversely proportional to the electronic mass, its value is reduced to a value comparable to the Debye temperature. In this situation, theoreticians have been looking for other bonding mechanisms and at the present time a good candidate is an attractive interaction intermediated by so-called spin fluctuations.

In this approach, the binding of an electron pair is connected with the spin polarisation of the electron gas that arises from the magnetic interactions. These interactions dress the electron with a cloud of polarised spins and it is this dressing that is at the origin of the high effective mass of the electrons. One conjecture is that the most favourable pair bonding in this case occurs for electrons with parallel spins and hence with an antisymmetric orbital function (p-wave or triplet pairing). The energy range of the spin fluctuations is represented by the spin-fluctuation temperature, $T_{SF}$, which appears to be of the order of 10 K, thus small compared to the effective Fermi temperature. Since in this picture magnetic interactions play an important role, a competition between superconductivity and magnetic order is to be expected.

A somewhat arbitrary limit for characterising a compound as a heavy-fermion system is a value of 400 mJ/K mol for the electronic coefficient $\gamma$ of the linear term in the specific heat temperature relation. (In normal metals the corresponding figure is between 1 and 10). A number of compounds are presently known to meet this criterion: CeAl$_2$, CeCu$_2$Si$_2$, CeCu$_6$, UBe$_{13}$, UPt$_3$, U$_2$Zn$_{17}$, and UC$_{11}$11. Three of them become superconducting below 1 K (CeCu$_2$Si$_2$, UBe$_{13}$, and UPt$_3$), two exhibit antiferromagnetic order below 10 K ($U_2Zn_{17}$ and UC$_{11}$11) and the remaining two show no order at all down to the milli-Kelvin temperature range (CeAl$_2$ and CeCu$_6$).

Superconductivity and magnetic order in the heavy-fermion systems are sometimes extremely sensitive to deviations from stoichiometry, to chemical purity, to the atomic order or to the state of stress. In CeCu$_2$Si$_2$ compounds which are copper-rich, superconductivity is enhanced, whereas in UPt$_3$, the superconducting temperature, $T_c$, is strongly dependent on annealing procedures. Besides that, superconductivity in UPt$_3$ is easily destroyed by powdering the material or by substituting only 0.5 at% Pt by iso-electronic Pd. On further increasing the Palladium content in UPt$_3$, the heavy-fermion regime subsists up to 10 at%, the alloys first showing spin-fluctuation effects and then, at between 1 and 2 at% Pd, an onset to antiferromagnetic order with maximal values for the Neel temperature of about 6 K around 5 at% Pd.

In this paper we are dealing with high-pressure studies of these ordering phenomena in the heavy-fermion compounds. Successively we discuss the experimental approaches and the pressure effects on spin fluctuations, superconductivity and antiferromagnetic order. For an introduction into the physical properties of the heavy-fermion compounds we refer to a review paper by Stewart 1.

Experimental Approaches

Since ordering in the heavy-fermion systems turns out to be such a delicate feature that is easily destroyed or enhanced, a variation of the external parameters (uniaxial stress, hydrostatic pressure, chemical pressure induced by substitutions) can be expected to affect markedly the phenomena. Strong anisotropies in some of these f-electron compounds make the application of uniaxial stress along certain crystallographic directions an especially appropriate tool, although such experiments have not yet been performed. Chemical substitutions and hydrostatic pressures, however, have been applied to poly- and monocrystalline samples and have been found to create conditions that span the range from Kondo behaviour (resistivity decreasing with increasing temperature over a certain temperature range, as observed in CeCu$_2$Si$_2$, UC$_{11}$11, and UBe$_{13}$) to spin-fluctuation phenomena (resistivity proportional to $T^2$ at low temperatures and saturating when approaching room temperature, as observed in UPt$_3$).

In order to study the pressure dependence of thermodynamic quantities it is not always necessary to employ high mechanical forces which are often damaging to the sample, particularly in the 10 GPa (100 kbar) pressure range where the pressure is transmitted via solid materials. Experiments in this pressure range are mostly confined to transport properties and X-ray studies. Pressurising through a gas or fluid medium limits experiments in general to 1 to 2 GPa, but especially when using a helium medium, hydrostatic conditions can be preserved.
rather well even at low temperatures, making the study of thermal and magnetic properties on single crystal samples feasible without damage to the sample. Nevertheless, if one is interested in the initial pressure dependences of, for instance, the susceptibility or the specific heat, it is equally possible to perform respectively forced magnetostriction or thermal expansion measurements. On comparing these with the high-pressure experiments as such, we can check the reliability of our information. These different methods have been successfully applied to UPt₃ by De Visser ²) using single crystals which are imperative for such an investigation on a non-cubic material.

Spin Fluctuations

Increasing pressure depresses the low-temperature anomalies that are characteristic for the heavy-fermion behaviour. This depression is illustrated for UPt₃ in Figs. 1 and 2 which show the temperature dependence of the resistivity and the specific heat as a function of pressure. Both the coefficient A of the T²-term in the resistivity and the coefficient γ of the linear term in the specific heat temperature relation decrease with pressure. The parameter A/γ² turns out to be almost pressure independent and its value is found to be close to 1.0 × 10⁻⁶ µΩcm (mole K/mJ)², a common value for nearly all heavy-fermion compounds ³). This universal result enhances the challenge to bring these systems into the same theoretical framework. Working within the concept of spin fluctuations, the parameter A is found to be inversely proportional to the square of the spin-fluctuation temperature. According to the experimental observations for UPt₃, the coefficient γ varies with pressure as 1/TSF. Pressure effects on the susceptibility of UPt₃ can also be expressed in terms of a pressure-dependent spin-fluctuation temperature. Numerical results for the relative pressure dependence of TSF as determined from resistivity and susceptibility measurements under pressure, coincide within the experimental error. Although this feature cannot be considered as a proof that spin fluctuations are at the bottom of the heavy-fermion behaviour fo this particular compound, it shows at least that the model is consistent with the experimental observations.

Superconductivity

Both negative and positive pressure effects have been reported for the superconducting transition temperature in heavy-fermion compounds. For example, in the uranium-based heavy-fermion superconductors, UBe₁₃ and UPt₃, the pressure dependence of Tc is moderately negative and comparable to what is usually observed for a conventional type of superconductor, see Fig. 2 and references 6 and 7. The most interesting feature, however, is not the sign or absolute value so much as the relation between pressure effects in the normal and superconducting states. Studies of the pressure dependence of specific heat and resistivity can provide us with the required information on such normal-state properties like the heavy electronic mass and the Kondo or spin-fluctuation temperatures, but interpretation of the data depends strongly on appropriate models which are not always available; the temperature dependences that are observed in these materials are often complex.

Since spin fluctuations are known to suppress superconductivity in normal metals, the coexistence of both phenomena in UPt₃ was certainly not expected. A start to interpreting the superconductivity and its pressure dependence for this compound has been made by Pethick et al. ⁶). Working from a Fermi liquid model, the normal and superconducting state parameters are expressed in terms of the same Landau parameters. Values for these parameters derived in the normal state indicate a p-wave-type of superconductivity and predict a satisfactory correspondence with the experimentally observed pressure dependence of the superconducting transition temperature. This promising approach is applicable to UPt₃ since an adequate fit of the specific heat data is given by Fermi liquid theory that includes a T³ in T(K) term, see Fig. 2. A similar description of the other heavy-fermion superconductors is lacking at present.

Antiferromagnetic Ordering

Antiferromagnetic order in the heavy-fermion systems has been observed for U₂Zn₁₇ and UCd₁₁ with values for the Neél temperature, Tₙ, of 9.7 and 5.0 K, respectively. Although in UPt₃ no long-range magnetic order has been found,

Fig. 1 — High-pressure resistivity data of monocristalline UPt₃ whiskers taken from Ponchet et al. ³); at low temperatures the experimental data follow the relation ρ(T) = ρ₀ + A T², with ρ₀ the residual resistivity in the normal state; the negative pressure dependence of the coefficient A of the T²-term in the resistivity points to a strong increase of the spin-fluctuation temperature with pressure.

Fig. 2 — Pressure dependence of the specific heat of UPt₃ as reported by Brodale et al. ⁴); data have been taken at 1 bar (o), 3.8 kbar (●) and 8.9 kbar (△); the experimental data in the normal state have been fitted to the expression c/T = γ + εT² + δT³ In T(K) for temperatures below 4 K (dashed curves) and to temperatures below 20 K (solid curves); transitions to the superconducting state are indicated by small arrows.
high-field magnetisation measurements show a metamagnetic-type of transition in this material around 20 T in the liquid-helium temperature region, reminiscent of some type of antiferromagnetism. Upon substituting a few percent of Pt by Pd or U by Th, this latent antiferromagnetism appears apparent below an ordering temperature of about 6 K. Experiments indicate that antiferromagnetism in these alloys is associated with Fermi surface instabilities (spin-density waves). High-pressure experiments reveal a strong depression of $T_N$ in U(Pt,Pd)$_3$ compounds in contrast to U$_2$Zn$_{17}$ and UCd$_{13}$, where small and positive pressure effects have been reported. In these latter cases, the positive pressure effects are claimed to result from competing Kondo and indirect exchange interactions.

Basic Mechanisms

It seems tempting to ascribe the large substitution effects on ordering phenomena in the heavy-fermion compounds either to impurity scattering, to lattice spacing effects or to critical changes in 5f-electron localisation or hybridisation between the uranium and surrounding ligand states. Taking these in turn, in our experience, impurity scattering is not the dominant parameter in suppressing superconductivity in the (U,Th) (Pt,Pd)$_3$ system. Unannealed UPt$_3$ samples with $\rho (300 \text{ K})/\rho _0$ values of 30 are superconducting below 0.3 K, whereas in a 1 at% Pd sample with the same value for this resistivity ratio, no superconductivity has been observed down to 40 mK. Because the molar volume of UPt$_3$ increases with increasing thorium, but decreases with increasing palladium content, the atomic volume cannot be the decisive parameter for the transition from the superconducting to the magnetically ordered state in the (U,Th) (Pt,Pd)$_3$ system either.

Further parameters to be considered are the anistropies in the lattice constants. The $c/a$ ratio for the hexagonal compound UPt$_3$ increases on substituting with thorium or palladium. This suggests that the depression of superconductivity and promotion of antiferromagnetic order goes with decreasing $c/a$ values, and since this ratio increases with pressure (the compressibility is slightly anisotropic in UPt$_3$), the conclusion is consistent with the results of the high-pressure studies on the antiferromagnetic ordering temperature. However, it does not explain the depression of superconductivity by both high pressure and increasing thorium or palladium content. Substitutions on the uranium sublattice (thorium) as well as on the platinum sublattice (palladium) most probably lead to increasing localisation of the 5f electrons due to increased U-U distances or to a reduced f-d hybridisation, respectively. This increased localisation is undoubtedly in favour of a magnetically ordered state and compatible with the negative pressure effect on $T_N$. But the negative pressure effect on $T_S$: again remains unexplained. Clearly our present understanding of the mechanisms that drive the (U,Th) (Pt,Pd)$_3$ compounds from the superconducting state to the magnetically ordered (spin-density-wave) state is still poor.

Conclusion

To conclude this examination of pressure effects in the heavy-fermion systems, a tentative phase diagram of ordering phenomena in the pseudo-binary compound U(Pt,Pd)$_3$ is presented in Fig. 3. Up to a palladium concentration of 10 percent, these compounds remain in the heavy-fermion regime with values of the electronic-mass enhancement of 180 or more. Within this range, the low-temperature anomalies in the resistivity change from spin-fluctuation-type to Kondo-type. The ordering phenomena change from superconductivity to antiferromagnetic order within a narrow...
concentration range around 1 at% Pd where no ordering has been observed. The spin-fluctuation temperature increases with the application of pressure, whereas the superconducting and antiferromagnetic ordering temperatures are depressed, leaving open the possibility that the same interactions are responsible for superconductivity and antiferromagnetism.
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